

Changing the topology

X a standard Borel space. A topology τ on X is compatible if $B(X) = \text{Borel } \sigma\text{-algebra of } (X, \tau)$.

Notation: $\langle U \rangle = \text{top. generated by } U.$

Thm: If $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are compatible Polish topologies on st. Borel X ,
then $\tau_\infty := \langle \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_n \rangle$ is also a compatible Polish top.

Pf: γ_∞ is clearly compatible, second-ctbl.

Fix complete metrics d_n bounded by 1 s.t. d_n generates τ_n .

$$d_\infty(x, y) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} d_n(x, y) \quad \text{is a complete metric that generates } \gamma_\infty \text{ (exercise)}$$

Thm (MAKING BOREL SETS CLOPEN): X standar Borel.
 $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, there is a compatible Polish τ on X
 s.t. A is τ -clopen.

Pf: Let $\mathcal{A} := \{ A \in \mathcal{B}(X) : \quad \downarrow \}$.

Fix a compatible Polish top. τ on X . Need to show:

① Every τ -open set is in $\mathcal{A}$ } $\Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{B}(X)$ 😊

② $\mathcal{A}$ is a σ -algebra

①: $U \subseteq X$ τ -open $\Rightarrow U$ Polish, $X \setminus U$ Polish

$X \cong \underbrace{U \sqcup (X \setminus U)}_{\text{Polish}}, U \text{ and } X \setminus U \text{ both open. } \checkmark$

② $A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ *clear*

$$(A_n \in \mathcal{A})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Rightarrow \bigcup A_n \in \mathcal{A}$$

Let τ_n witness $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\tau_\infty := \left\langle \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_n \right\rangle \text{ compatible, Polish}$$

In τ_∞ , each A_n is clopen $\Rightarrow A$ is τ_∞ -open

$\Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{A}$ by ①.

Corollary: X st. Borel, (Y, τ_Y) Polish, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a Borel function. Then $\exists$ a compatible Polish top. τ_X on X s.t. $f: (X, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_Y)$ is continuous.

Pf: $\mathcal{U} =$ cbl basis for τ_Y . Enough to find τ_X s.t.

$\forall U \in \mathcal{U}, f^{-1}(U)$ is τ_X -open.

$f^{-1}(U)$ is Borel $\Rightarrow \exists \tau_U$ comp. Polish top. on X s.t. U is τ_U -clopen

$\tau_X := \left\langle \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \tau_U \right\rangle$ is as desired.

Analytic & co-analytic sets

(analogous to NP)

Defn: X a standard Borel space.

$A \subseteq X$ is analytic if there is a standard Borel space Y , Borel set $B \subseteq X \times Y$ s.t.

$$A = \text{proj}_X(B) := \{x \in X : \exists y \in Y \text{ (x,y) } \in B\}$$

Equivalently:

$A \subseteq X$ is analytic if $A = f(Y)$ for a st. Borel Y , a Borel function $f: Y \rightarrow X$.

$A \subseteq X$ is co-analytic if for some Borel $B \subseteq X \times Y$,

$$A = \{x \in X : \forall y \in Y ((x, y) \in B)\}.$$

Equivalently, A^c is analytic.

Example: Graphs $\cong \mathcal{C}$ graphs with $V = \mathbb{N}$.

Clique $_{\infty} := \{G \in \text{Graphs} : G \text{ has an infinite clique}\}$ is analytic.

$$G \in \text{Clique}_{\infty} \iff \exists \text{ infinite set } S \subseteq \mathbb{N} (G[S] \text{ is complete})$$

$$\iff \exists x \in \mathcal{C} \left(\underbrace{x \text{ has } \infty \text{ many } 1\text{'s}}_{\text{Borel}} \wedge \right.$$

$$\underbrace{\forall i < j \in \mathbb{N}}_{\text{d.f. } \cap} \left(\underbrace{x_i = x_j = 1 \rightarrow G_{ij} = 1}_{\text{finitely determined} \Rightarrow \text{Borel}} \right)$$

Another example:

$$\underline{\text{Dir}} = \{\text{directed graphs with vtx set } \mathbb{N}\} \cong \mathcal{C}.$$

$$\underline{\text{WF}} := \{D \in \underline{\text{Dir}} : D \text{ is well-founded}\}$$

no $\leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \dots$

Then $\underline{\text{WF}}$ is co-analytic.

$$D \in \underline{\text{WF}} \iff \neg \exists x \in \mathcal{N} = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \underbrace{\forall i \in \mathbb{N} ((x_{i+1}, x_i) \in E(D))}_{\text{Borel}}.$$

$\uparrow$
the Baire space

Examples from analysis:

$$C([0, 1]) := \{\text{continuous functions } f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\} \cong \mathcal{C}$$

(record the values of f on the rational pts)

exercise

The Borel σ -algebra on $C([0, 1])$ is Polish.

The following metric makes $C([0,1])$ Polish.

$$\text{dist}(f, g) := \sup |f(x) - g(x)| \quad (\text{uniform convergence})$$

$$PC_0 := \{ (f_n) \in C([0,1])^{\mathbb{N}} : (f_n) \text{ converges ptwise to } 0 \}.$$

co-analytic:

$$(f_n) \in PC_0 \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in [0,1] \left(\underbrace{f_n(x) \rightarrow 0}_{\text{Borel}} \right)$$

$$\text{Diff} := \{ f \in C([0,1]) : f \text{ is differentiable} \} \rightarrow \text{co-analytic}$$

$$\forall x \in [0,1] \left(\underbrace{f \text{ is differentiable at } x}_{\text{Borel (exercise!)}} \right)$$

Thm (Suslin): Not all analytic/co-analytic sets are Borel.

(set-theorist's $P \neq NP$)

Pf idea: Recall coding of pseudo-circuits by pts in $\mathcal{C}$
 $\mathcal{C} \ni x \mapsto C_x$, fixed ctbl vtn set V

$$A := \{ x \in \mathcal{C} : C_x \text{ is well-founded} \& C_x(x) = 0 \}.$$

NOT BOREL

$$x \in A \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{C_x \text{ is well-founded}}_{\text{co-analytic}} \& \forall f: V \rightarrow \{0,1\}$$

$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{f is an evaluation of } C_x \\ \text{on } x \text{ and } f(\text{output}) = 0 \end{array} \right)$
Borel

So A is co-analytic. □

Actually, Clique_{co}, WF, PC₀, Diff are not Borel

