

$[N]^{\leq \omega}$

↑ finite subsets, $a, b, \dots, x, y, \dots$

$[N]^{\omega}$

↑ infinite subsets, $A, B, \dots, X, Y, \dots$

$$[a, A] := \{ X \in [N]^{\omega} : a \in X \subseteq a \cup A \} = [a, a \cup A]$$

A set $U \subseteq [N]^{\omega}$ is completely Ramsey if for all a, A ,
there is $X \subseteq A$ s.t. either $[a, X] \subseteq U$ or $[a, X] \cap U = \emptyset$.

Ellentuck topology on $[N]^{\omega}$: generated by the sets $[a, A]$.

Thm (Ellentuck $\Rightarrow$ Galvin-Prikry): A set $U \subseteq [N]^{\omega}$ is
completely Ramsey $\iff$ U is Baire-measurable in the
Ellentuck topology.

Proof of $\Rightarrow$: easy direction

Suppose U is completely Ramsey. Define

$$V := \bigcup \{ [a, A] : [a, A] \subseteq U \} \subseteq U$$

V is Ellentuck-open. We claim $U \setminus V$ is Ellentuck-nowhere-dense
(hence meager), so U is Baire-measurable, as desired.

Indeed, take any basic open $[a, A]$.

U is completely Ramsey, so we have 2 cases:

Case 1: There is $X \subseteq A$ s.t. $[a, X] \subseteq U$.

Then $[a, X] \subseteq V$, so $[a, X]$ is an open subset of $[a, A]$
disjoint from $U \setminus V$. ✓

Case 2: There is $X \subseteq A$ s.t. $[a, X] \cap U = \emptyset$. Then, again,
 $[a, X]$ is an open subset of $[a, A]$ disjoint from $U \setminus V$. □

Now for the hard direction: Ellentuck-BM $\Rightarrow$ completely Ramsey.

Notation: For $A, B \in [N]^{\omega}$, write

$A \dot{=} B$ if $A \Delta B$ is finite (almost equality)

$A \dot{\subseteq} B$ if $A \setminus B$ is finite (almost inclusion)

$A \leq^* B$ if $A \cap B$ is finite

Lemma (diagonal intersection): If $A_0 \geq^* A_1 \geq^* A_2 \geq \dots$, then there is $A \in [N]^\omega$ s.t. $A \leq^* A_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. (May arrange $A \leq A_0$)

Pf. Recursively pick a_i from $\underbrace{A_0 \cap \dots \cap A_i}_{\text{infinite}}$ distinct from $a_0, \dots, a_{i-1}$. □

Let $A := \{a_0, a_1, \dots\}$

Now, fix $U \subseteq [N]^\omega$.

Given $a \in [N]^{<\omega}$, $A \in [N]^\omega$, say:

- A accepts a if $\exists \underbrace{A' \leq^* A}_{\text{may assume } A' \leq A}$ s.t. $[a, A'] \in U$

- A rejects a if $\forall \underbrace{B \leq^* A}_{\text{enough to consider } B \leq A}$, $[a, B] \notin U$.



$\forall B \leq^* A$, B does not accept a .

Observations:

① A accepts/rejects a , $B \leq^* A \Rightarrow B$ also accepts/rejects a .

② $\forall a, A$, there is $B \leq A$ s.t. B either accepts or rejects a .

③ $\forall A$, there is $D \leq A$ s.t. D either accepts or rejects every $a \in [N]^{<\omega}$.

↓
call such set D decisive.

Proof. Enumerate all finite subsets of N : $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$

Iteratively apply ② to produce $A \geq A_0 \geq A_1 \geq \dots$ s.t. A_i either accepts or rejects a_i .

Take $D :=$ diagonal intersection of $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. □

④ If A rejects a , then the set

$\{A_i \mid a_i \in A \text{ and } A \text{ accepts } a_i\}$ is finite.

$N := \{n \in A : A \text{ accepts } n\}$

Proof: Suppose N is infinite. Recursively define elements $n_i \in N$ and finite sets $f_i \subseteq A$ as follows: Once $n_0, \dots, n_{i-1}, f_0, \dots, f_{i-1}$ are defined, pick $\underline{n_i} \in N \setminus (f_0 \cup \dots \cup f_{i-1})$ distinct from $n_0, \dots, n_{i-1}$. Since A accepts $a \cup \{n_i\}$, there is a finite set $\underline{f_i}$ s.t.

$$[a \cup \{n_i\}, A \setminus f_i] \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Now, consider $B := \{n_0, n_1, \dots\} \in [N]^\omega$.

We claim that $[a, B] \in \mathcal{U}$, contradicting the assumption that A rejects a . Indeed, take any $a \leq C \leq a \cup B$

Say i is minimum s.t. $n_i \in C$. Then

$$a \cup \{n_i\} \leq C \leq a \cup \{n_i\} \cup (A \setminus f_i),$$

hence $C \in [a \cup \{n_i\}, A \setminus f_i] \in \mathcal{U}$, as claimed. ■

⑤ If D is decisive, then

either D accepts $\emptyset$ → hence E also rejects all $a \in E$.
or there is $E \in [D]^\omega$ s.t. D rejects all $a \in E$.

Proof: Suppose D rejects $\emptyset$. Recursively construct finite sets $\emptyset = e_0 \subset e_1 \subset \dots$, subsets of D , s.t.

D rejects every subset of each e_i .

$e_0 = \emptyset$ has this property by assumption.

Given e_{i-1} , there are only finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

D accepts $a \cup \{n\}$ for some $a \leq e_{i-1}$ (by ④), so we can pick $n_i \in D \setminus e_{i-1}$ s.t. D rejects all subsets of

$$e_i := e_{i-1} \cup \{n_i\}.$$

Now we set $E := \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} e_i$. ■

